UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Clear Form

VINH HUU PH	IAM, et al.	
	Plaintiff(s),	CASE NO. C11-01526 EJD
CITY OF SAN	V. JOSE, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
	Defendant(s).	
	isel report that they have met an pulation pursuant to Civil L.R.	nd conferred regarding ADR and have reached the 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties a	agree to participate in the follow	ring ADR process:
(Note: Partie appreciably ADR phone ADR Phone	more likely to meet their needs to conference and may not file this Conference. See Civil Local Rustate Process:	tlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is than any other form of ADR, must participate in an s form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for
The parties a	referring the case to an ADR	y: te deadline is 90 days from the date of the order process unless otherwise ordered.)
Dated: 10/06/	2011	/s/ Stuart D. Kirchick
		Attorney for Plaintiff
Dated: 10/06/	2011	/s/ Clifford S. Greenberg
		Attorney for Defendant

Case 5:11-cv-01526-EJD Document 16 Filed 10/13/11 Page 2 of 2

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursu	ant to the Stipulation above, the capti	oned matter is hereby referred to:	
	Non-binding Arbitration		
✓	Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)		
	Mediation		
	Private ADR		
Deadl	ine for ADR session		
Ø	90 days from the date of this order.		
	other		
IT IS SO ORI	DERED.		
Dated: October	r 13, 2011	EDU O DAL EDWARD J. DAVILA	
		UNITED STATES DISTRICT	JUDGE